


Dr Daniel Came
 Nietzsche (27351-14/15S2)
 No. of responses = 10
 Class size = 12



Overall indicators

Global Index




Section A - University Core Questions (Scale width: 4)

Survey Results


Legend

Question text




Section A - University Core Questions


1. The module was well-taught




2. The assessment task(s) was(were) relevant to the module's learning outcomes




3. I received sufficient academic support with my studies on the module




4. The academic content of the module was well-organised



5. The learning resources/learning environment for the module met my needs



6. The module provided me with opportunities for development (e.g. subject knowledge/techniques, communication skills, problem-solving skills)




Section B - Faculty/Department Questions


7. I would recommend this module




8. The lectures furthered my knowledge




9. The module stimulated my interest in the subject



10. The tutorials/seminars were engaging



11. The feedback on this module to date has been timely and has provided me with information on how to improve my work









Profile






Subunit: 027 Philosophy
Name of the instructor: Dr Daniel Came
Name of the course: Nietzsche (27351-14/15S2)
(Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Section A - University Core Questions

1. The module was well-taught	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=9	av.=1.3	md=1.0	dev.=0.5
2. The assessment task(s) was(were) relevant to the module's learning outcomes	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=10	av.=1.2	md=1.0	dev.=0.4
3. I received sufficient academic support with my studies on the module	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=10	av.=1.4	md=1.0	dev.=0.5
4. The academic content of the module was well-organised	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=9	av.=1.6	md=2.0	dev.=0.5
5. The learning resources/learning environment for the module met my needs	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=9	av.=1.4	md=1.0	dev.=0.5
6. The module provided me with opportunities for development (e.g. subject knowledge/techniques, communication skills, problem-solving skills)	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=9	av.=1.2	md=1.0	dev.=0.4

Section B - Faculty/Department Questions

7. I would recommend this module	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=9	av.=1.3	md=1.0	dev.=0.5
8. The lectures furthered my knowledge	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=10	av.=1.2	md=1.0	dev.=0.4
9. The module stimulated my interest in the subject	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=9	av.=1.4	md=1.0	dev.=0.5
10. The tutorials/seminars were engaging	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=9	av.=1.4	md=1.0	dev.=0.5
11. The feedback on this module to date has been timely and has provided me with information on how to improve my work	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=7	av.=1.3	md=1.0	dev.=0.5

Comments Report

Section C - Further comments

Which aspects of the module did you find most useful/enjoyable?

The depth of investigation into Nietzsche was great, very explorative and engaging, module was set out very efficiently and effectively. Difficult subject of Nietzsche made accessible + caused a lot of enquiry into human existence and values of every kind.

breaks and chilled atmosphere

Lectures were thoroughly interesting, engaging and enjoyable. Dr. Came is the best philosophy lecturer! Very knowledgeable and approachable - he was very helpful and provided detailed, useful feedback. Always responded to emails and answered all questions. This has been my favourite module and I have no complaints at all!

The lectures on faith and ~~its nature~~ ~~etc~~ — they were riveting!

Really made me read around, made me apply what I had read and understand each aspect of the module. Very hard ~~by~~ but felt I learnt a lot. Dr. Came v. quick responding to emails and v. helpful office hrs.

- Highly engaging presentations.
- The power points had lots of detail on them making them easy to refer back to. Also the reading lists were very helpful.

Engaging subject

In what ways could the module be improved?

I honestly don't know. It is very well constructed + targeted content. Bloody hard, but good!

coffee and muffins a breaks

Perhaps more teaching time would be ideal such that there is slightly more time to complete the ~~the~~ second essay. ~~after~~

Not all texts were available in the library. Topics could have been separated more clearly with each essay question relating to a specific topic.

- An extra seminar class for discussions ~~would have~~ have been a great addition. As a lot of unnecessary questions got asked that slow down the class.
 ↘ not always unnecessary

Content for 1st essay was delivered too close to deadline.