

Dr Daniel Came


Philosophy of Science (27338-13/14S2)
 No. of responses = 7
 Class size = 14



Overall indicators

Global Index


Section A - University Core Questions (Scale width: 4)



Survey Results


Legend

Question text




Section A - University Core Questions


1. The module was well-taught




2. The assessment task(s) was(were) relevant to the module's learning outcomes




3. I received sufficient academic support with my studies on the module




4. The academic content of the module was well-organised



5. The learning resources/learning environment for the module met my needs




6. The module provided me with opportunities for development (e.g. subject knowledge/techniques, communication skills, problem-solving skills)




Section B - Faculty/Department Questions


7. I was able to keep abreast of the reading schedule



8. The teaching methods stimulated my interest in the subject



9. Overall I was satisfied with this module









Profile




Subunit: 027 Philosophy
 Name of the instructor: Dr Daniel Came
 Name of the course: Philosophy of Science
 (Name of the survey)

Values used in the profile line: Mean

Section A - University Core Questions

1. The module was well-taught	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=7	av.=1.3	md=1.0	dev.=0.5
2. The assessment task(s) was(were) relevant to the module's learning outcomes	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=7	av.=1.3	md=1.0	dev.=0.8
3. I received sufficient academic support with my studies on the module	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=7	av.=1.3	md=1.0	dev.=0.5
4. The academic content of the module was well-organised	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=6	av.=1.3	md=1.0	dev.=0.5
5. The learning resources/learning environment for the module met my needs	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=6	av.=1.5	md=1.5	dev.=0.5
6. The module provided me with opportunities for development (e.g. subject knowledge/techniques, communication skills, problem-solving skills)	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=7	av.=1.4	md=1.0	dev.=0.5

Section B - Faculty/Department Questions

7. I was able to keep abreast of the reading schedule	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=6	av.=1.7	md=1.5	dev.=0.8
8. The teaching methods stimulated my interest in the subject	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=6	av.=2.0	md=2.0	dev.=0.6
9. Overall I was satisfied with this module	Definitely agree		Definitely disagree	n=7	av.=1.6	md=1.0	dev.=0.8

Comments Report

Section C - Further comments

Which aspects of the module did you find most useful/enjoyable?

Small class, powerpoint by students, Daniel Came was a great lecturer who ~~had~~ made time to listen to student ideas.

Dr Came has a good knowledge and can clearly articulate points, theories and reputations. I enjoyed ~~this~~ and would say he is a superb lecturer. Good feedback ^{Dr Came} on essays

An extensive reading list which gave good insight to the issues, both primary and secondary (Bird, Newton-Smith)

The lectures were brilliant. Enjoyed attending greatly.

Overall content.
Different topics = wide range.

In what ways could the module be improved?

Making it available in 1st year or 2nd year and extending it?
I think it is a very useful module for those simply getting an idea for use in later non-philosophical roles and it's very interesting given current societal admiration of Science.

I found the presentations often a little bland and hard to follow & concentrate on. Aside from this I thought everything was perfect. I think however making students do a presentation was often just a repeat of what was said in the lectures just hours and a bit earlier.

If you are going to have in class presentations I suggest they should be worth a portion of the module so people take them seriously.

~~Or~~ Or you could adopt a 'presentation essay' type system.

Not Much. Allround Brilliant.

I would probably recommend ~~an~~ extra really unnecessary